



# Security Audit

# Report for Cellula Life

# Game Contracts

**Date:** April 8, 2024 **Version:** 1.0

**Contact:** [contact@blocksec.com](mailto:contact@blocksec.com)

# Contents

<b>Chapter 1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 About Target Contracts	1
1.2 Disclaimer	1
1.3 Procedure of Auditing	2
1.3.1 Software Security	2
1.3.2 DeFi Security	2
1.3.3 NFT Security	3
1.3.4 Additional Recommendation	3
1.4 Security Model	3
<b>Chapter 2 Findings</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Software Security	5
2.1.1 Potential Gene Manipulation Due to Predictable Randomness	5
2.2 DeFi Security	6
2.2.1 Lack of <code>tokenId</code> Check in Function <code>createLife()</code>	6
2.2.2 Lack of <code>_currentCellAuction.sold</code> Update in Function <code>addNewAuction</code>	8
2.2.3 Incorrect Update of <code>workEndTime</code>	9
2.2.4 Lack of Refund in Function <code>buyFood()</code>	10
2.2.5 Lack of Check in Function <code>addNewAuction()</code>	10
2.2.6 Lack of Interface to Withdraw <code>_poolFeeCollected</code> Fee	11
2.2.7 Lack of Upper Limit in Function <code>Withdraw()</code>	13
2.3 Additional Recommendation	13
2.3.1 Lack of Check in Function <code>createLife()</code>	13
2.3.2 Incorrect Comments	14
2.3.3 Redundant code	14
2.3.4 Improper usage of function Transfer	15
2.4 Note	15
2.4.1 Higher Cell Price Due to Round Down Design	16
2.4.2 Inconsistent <code>BLOCK_TIME</code>	16
2.4.3 Lack of Access Control in <code>sendClaimEnergyRequest()</code>	16
2.4.4 Lack of Evolution Implementation	17

## Report Manifest

Item	Description
Client	Cellula
Target	Cellula Life Game Contracts

## Version History

Version	Date	Description
1.0	April 8, 2024	First Release

## Signature

**About BlockSec** BlockSec focuses on the security of the blockchain ecosystem and collaborates with leading DeFi projects to secure their products. BlockSec is founded by top-notch security researchers and experienced experts from both academia and industry. They have published multiple blockchain security papers in prestigious conferences, reported several zero-day attacks of DeFi applications, and successfully protected digital assets that are worth more than 14 million dollars by blocking multiple attacks. They can be reached at [Email](#), [Twitter](#) and [Medium](#).

# Chapter 1 Introduction

## 1.1 About Target Contracts

Information	Description
Type	Smart Contract
Language	Solidity
Approach	Semi-automatic and manual verification

The focus of this audit is on the Cellula Life Game Contracts of the Cellula <sup>1</sup>. The Cellula introduces a Full-Chain Game that combines two types of NFTs: [BitCell](#) and [BitLife](#). The issuance curve is determined by the [VRGDA](#) method, with [BitCell](#) having a fixed total supply of 10,220 units and [BitLife](#) having no upper limit on the total supply. The game rules are based on the logic of Conway's Game of Life, where each [BitCell](#) is a 3x3 matrix, and each [BitLife](#) NFT requires a combination of 2 to 9 [BitCells](#) to be minted.

Please note that the audit scope is limited to the following smart contracts:

- [src/CellGame.sol](#)
- [src/Energy.sol](#)
- [src/Helps.sol](#)
- [src/Life.sol](#)
- [src/interface/ICellGame.sol](#)
- [src/interface/ILife.sol](#)
- [src/lib/BitMap.sol](#)
- [src/lib/SignedWadMath.sol](#)
- [src/lib/VRGDA.sol](#)

The auditing process is iterative. Specifically, we would audit the commits that fix the discovered issues. If there are new issues, we will continue this process. The commit SHA values during the audit are shown in the following table. Our audit report is responsible for the code in the initial version ([Version 1](#)), as well as new code (in the following versions) to fix issues in the audit report.

Project	Version	Commit Hash
Cellula Life Game Contracts	<a href="#">Version 1</a>	<a href="#">9be5051fe3471eb44fd1911d17c26d7b5be1a208</a>
	<a href="#">Version 2</a>	<a href="#">2fc1536504e46b0a14b96664ebbfecde2a2db405</a>

## 1.2 Disclaimer

This audit report does not constitute investment advice or a personal recommendation. It does not consider, and should not be interpreted as considering or having any bearing on, the potential economics of a token, token sale or any other product, service or other asset.

---

<sup>1</sup><https://github.com/cellulalifegame/Energy-Factory-Solidity/tree/main>

Any entity should not rely on this report in any way, including for the purpose of making any decisions to buy or sell any token, product, service or other asset.

This audit report is not an endorsement of any particular project or team, and the report does not guarantee the security of any particular project. This audit does not give any warranties on discovering all security issues of the smart contracts, i.e., the evaluation result does not guarantee the nonexistence of any further findings of security issues. As one audit cannot be considered comprehensive, we always recommend proceeding with independent audits and a public bug bounty program to ensure the security of smart contracts.

The scope of this audit is limited to the code mentioned in Section 1.1. Unless explicitly specified, the security of the language itself (e.g., the solidity language), the underlying compiling toolchain and the computing infrastructure are out of the scope.

## 1.3 Procedure of Auditing

We perform the audit according to the following procedure.

- **Vulnerability Detection** We first scan smart contracts with automatic code analyzers, and then manually verify (reject or confirm) the issues reported by them.
- **Semantic Analysis** We study the business logic of smart contracts and conduct further investigation on the possible vulnerabilities using an automatic fuzzing tool (developed by our research team). We also manually analyze possible attack scenarios with independent auditors to cross-check the result.
- **Recommendation** We provide some useful advice to developers from the perspective of good programming practice, including gas optimization, code style, and etc.

We show the main concrete checkpoints in the following.

### 1.3.1 Software Security

- \* Reentrancy
- \* DoS
- \* Access control
- \* Data handling and data flow
- \* Exception handling
- \* Untrusted external call and control flow
- \* Initialization consistency
- \* Events operation
- \* Error-prone randomness
- \* Improper use of the proxy system

### 1.3.2 DeFi Security

- \* Semantic consistency
- \* Functionality consistency
- \* Permission management
- \* Business logic


- \* Token operation
- \* Emergency mechanism
- \* Oracle security
- \* Whitelist and blacklist
- \* Economic impact
- \* Batch transfer

### 1.3.3 NFT Security

- \* Duplicated item
- \* Verification of the token receiver
- \* Off-chain metadata security

### 1.3.4 Additional Recommendation

- \* Gas optimization
- \* Code quality and style

 **Note** The previous checkpoints are the main ones. We may use more checkpoints during the auditing process according to the functionality of the project.

## 1.4 Security Model

To evaluate the risk, we follow the standards or suggestions that are widely adopted by both industry and academy, including OWASP Risk Rating Methodology <sup>2</sup> and Common Weakness Enumeration <sup>3</sup>. The overall *severity* of the risk is determined by *likelihood* and *impact*. Specifically, likelihood is used to estimate how likely a particular vulnerability can be uncovered and exploited by an attacker, while impact is used to measure the consequences of a successful exploit.

In this report, both likelihood and impact are categorized into two ratings, i.e., *high* and *low* respectively, and their combinations are shown in Table 1.1.

**Table 1.1: Vulnerability Severity Classification**

<b>Impact</b>	<i>High</i>	High	Medium
	<i>Low</i>	Medium	Low
		<i>High</i>	<i>Low</i>
		<b>Likelihood</b>	

<sup>2</sup>[https://owasp.org/www-community/OWASP\\_Risk\\_Rating\\_Methodology](https://owasp.org/www-community/OWASP_Risk_Rating_Methodology)

<sup>3</sup><https://cwe.mitre.org/>

Accordingly, the severity measured in this report are classified into three categories: **High**, **Medium**, **Low**. For the sake of completeness, **Undetermined** is also used to cover circumstances when the risk cannot be well determined.

Furthermore, the status of a discovered item will fall into one of the following four categories:

- **Undetermined** No response yet.
- **Acknowledged** The item has been received by the client, but not confirmed yet.
- **Confirmed** The item has been recognized by the client, but not fixed yet.
- **Fixed** The item has been confirmed and fixed by the client.

## Chapter 2 Findings

In total, we find **eight** potential security issues. Besides, we also have **four** recommendation and **four** notes.

- High Risk: 2
- Medium Risk: 6
- Low Risk: 0
- Recommendation: 4
- Note: 4

ID	Severity	Description	Category	Status
1	Medium	Potential Gene Manipulation Due to Predictable Randomness	Software Security	Confirmed
2	High	Lack of <code>tokenId</code> Check in Function <code>createLife()</code>	DeFi Security	Fixed
3	High	Lack of <code>_currentCellAuction.sold</code> Update in Function <code>addNewAuction</code>	DeFi Security	Fixed
4	Medium	Incorrect Update of <code>workEndTime</code>	DeFi Security	Confirmed
5	Medium	Lack of Refund in Function <code>buyFood()</code>	DeFi Security	Confirmed
6	Medium	Lack of Check in Function <code>addNewAuction()</code>	DeFi Security	Fixed
7	Medium	Lack of Interface to Withdraw <code>_poolFeeCollected</code> Fee	DeFi Security	Fixed
8	Medium	Lack of Upper Limit in Function <code>Withdraw()</code>	DeFi Security	Fixed
9	-	Lack of Check in Function <code>createLife()</code>	Recommendation	Fixed
10	-	Incorrect Comments	Recommendation	Fixed
11	-	Redundant code	Recommendation	Fixed
12	-	Improper usage of function Transfer	Recommendation	Fixed
13	-	Higher Cell Price Due to Round Down Design	Note	-
14	-	Inconsistent <code>BLOCK_TIME</code>	Note	-
15	-	Lack of Access Control in <code>sendClaimEnergyRequest()</code>	Note	-
16	-	Lack of Evolution Implementation	Note	-

The details are provided in the following sections.

### 2.1 Software Security

#### 2.1.1 Potential Gene Manipulation Due to Predictable Randomness

**Severity** Medium

**Status** Confirmed

**Introduced by** [Version 1](#)



**Description** In the function `MintFromAuction()` of the contract `CellGame`, it uses the function `getRandomNumber()` to generate the bitmap (gene) of the cell. However, the randomness is predictable and user-controlled because `msg.sender` is under the user's control, allowing them to calculate an address that yields a specific random number (cell gene).

```
388 function getRandomNumber() public returns (uint256) {
389     uint256 randomNumber = uint256(
390         keccak256(abi.encodePacked(block.timestamp, msg.sender))
391     );
392     for (uint256 i = 0; i < MAX_RANDOM_NUM; i++) {
393         uint256 index = (randomNumber + i) % MAX_RANDOM_NUM;
394         if (!_randomBitmap.get(index)) {
395             _randomBitmap.set(index);
396             return index + 1;
397         }
398     }
399
400
401     _randomBitmap.unsetBucket(0, 0);
402     _randomBitmap.unsetBucket(1, 0);
403     _current_round_number += 1;
404     return getRandomNumber();
405 }
```

**Listing 2.1:** src/CellGame.sol

**Impact** Users can mint a specific cell/Life, leading to unfair issues.

**Suggestion** Use an oracle (e.g., chainlink) to get a random number for the cell gene.

**Feedback from the Project** This is by design.

## 2.2 DeFi Security

### 2.2.1 Lack of `tokenId` Check in Function `createLife()`

**Severity** High

**Status** Fixed in [Version 2](#)

**Introduced by** [Version 1](#)

**Description** In function `createLife()`, it uses the `tokenId` provided by the caller to get the cells to be rented. However, it doesn't make sure the `tokenId` (`cellsPositions_[i][0]`) represents a minted cell, and malicious users can set `tokenId` to a non-minted cell and construct life from it. Because `cellGene.bornTime` is zero, `absoluteTimeSinceStart` will be a huge value, and the rent fee would be rather low. Furthermore, since it will update the `rentedCount` for the (non-minted) cell, when the cell is minted in the future, the user will suffer from indirect loss since the cell's rent fee will be rather high.

```
163 function createLife(uint256[][] memory cellsPositions_) public payable {
164     require(
165         cellsPositions_.length >= 2 && cellsPositions_.length <= 9,
166         "can only use 2-9 cells!"
167     )
168 }
```

```
167     );
168     uint256 cumulatedPrice = 0;
169     uint256[] memory cellGenes = new uint256[](cellsPositions_.length);
170     uint32[] memory livingCellTotals = new uint32[](cellsPositions_.length);
171
172
173     uint256 totalRentFeeCollected = 0;
174     for (uint256 i = 0; i < cellsPositions_.length; i++) {
175         uint256 tokenId = cellsPositions_[i][0];
176         CellGene storage cellGene = _cellPool[tokenId]; // <== non-exist ID
177         uint256 absoluteTimeSinceStart = block.timestamp -
178             cellGene.bornTime;
179         uint256 cellRentPrice = getCellRentPrice( // <== low fee
180             cellGene.leasedCount,
181             absoluteTimeSinceStart
182         );
183
184
185         cellGenes[i] = cellGene.bitmap.getBucket(0);
186         livingCellTotals[i] = cellGene.livingCellTotal;
187         cellGene.leasedCount += 1; // <== corrupt
188
189
190         uint256 rentFee = (cellRentPrice * 70) / 100;
191         _rentFeeCollected[tokenId] += rentFee;
192         totalRentFeeCollected += rentFee;
193
194
195         emit MintFeeReceived(tokenId, rentFee);
196
197
198         cumulatedPrice += cellRentPrice;
199     }
200
201
202     uint256 remainFee = cumulatedPrice - totalRentFeeCollected;
203     _devFeeCollected += remainFee / 3;
204     _poolFeeCollected += remainFee - remainFee / 3;
205
206
207     emit MintFeeForDevReceived(remainFee / 3);
208     emit MintFeeForPoolReceived(remainFee - remainFee / 3);
209
210
211     require(msg.value >= cumulatedPrice, "Insufficient funds");
212
213
214     _life.createLife(
215         msg.sender,
216         cumulatedPrice,
217         cellsPositions_,
218         cellGenes,
219         livingCellTotals
```

```
220     );
221
222
223     if (msg.value > cumulatedPrice) {
224         (bool sent, ) = payable(msg.sender).call{
225             value: msg.value - cumulatedPrice
226         }(""); // Returns false on failure
227         require(sent, "failed to return Ether");
228     }
229 }
```

**Listing 2.2:** src/CellGame.sol

**Impact** Users can corrupt any non-minted tokens and create life with low fees.

**Suggestion** Check if the cell exists in the function `createLife()`.

### 2.2.2 Lack of `_currentCellAuction.sold` Update in Function `addNewAuction`

**Severity** High

**Status** Fixed in [Version 2](#)

**Introduced by** [Version 1](#)

**Description** In function `addNewAuction()`, it ensured that the sold cell number was equal to `maxSellable`, and then updated the fields in `_currentCellAuction`. However, it didn't reset the `_currentCellAuction.sold` to zero.

```
244 function addNewAuction(
245     int256 targetPrice_,
246     int256 priceDecayPercent_,
247     int256 perTimeUnit_,
248     uint256 startTime_,
249     uint256 maxSellable_,
250     uint256 startTokenID_,
251     uint256 updateInterval_
252 ) public onlyOwner {
253     require(
254         _currentCellAuction.sold == _currentCellAuction.maxSellable,
255         "auction ongoing"
256     );
257     require(startTime_ > block.timestamp, "invalid startTime");
258     int256 decayConstant = wadLn(1e18 - priceDecayPercent_);
259     require(decayConstant < 0, "NON_NEGATIVE_DECAY_CONSTANT");
260     _currentCellAuction.startTime = startTime_;
261     _currentCellAuction.targetPrice = targetPrice_;
262     _currentCellAuction.decayConstant = decayConstant;
263     _currentCellAuction.perTimeUnit = perTimeUnit_;
264     _currentCellAuction.maxSellable = maxSellable_;
265     _currentCellAuction.startTokenID = startTokenID_;
266     _currentCellAuction.updateInterval = updateInterval_;
267 }
```

**Listing 2.3:** src/CellGame.sol

**Impact** First, users would be charged with a higher rent fee calculated using `getVRGDAPrice()`. Second, users won't be able to mint cells in auction rounds except the first one.

**Suggestion** Reset `_currentCellAuction.sold` to zero in function `addNewAuction()`.

### 2.2.3 Incorrect Update of `workEndTime`

**Severity** Medium

**Status** Confirmed

**Introduced by** Version 1

**Description** Currently the function `buyFood()` is implemented according to the documentation – “When food is consumed, the work time in BitLife is reset, rather than accumulated.” Specifically, it will always set `_lifePool[tokenIds[i]].workEndTime` to `currentTime + foodWorkTime`. However, when a user buys multiple food items at once in the function `buyFood()`, only the life extension from the latest food counts. For instance, buying 1-day food after 7-day food reduces the life extension to 1 day instead of 7, resulting in a loss of value.

```
126 function buyFood(uint256[] memory tokenIds, uint256 foodWorkTime)
127 external
128 payable
129 {
130     uint256 foodPrice = _foodPrices[foodWorkTime];
131     if (foodPrice <= 0) {
132         revert FoodNotOnSale(foodWorkTime);
133     }
134     uint256 foodPriceSum = 0;
135     uint256 currentTime = block.timestamp;
136     for (uint256 i = 0; i < tokenIds.length; i++) {
137         address owner = _ownerOf(tokenIds[i]);
138         if (msg.sender != owner) {
139             revert MustBeNftOwner(owner);
140         }
141         foodPriceSum += foodPrice;
142         _lifePool[tokenIds[i]].workEndTime = uint64(
143             currentTime + foodWorkTime
144         );
145         emit FeedEvent(tokenIds[i], currentTime, foodWorkTime);
146     }
147     if (msg.value < foodPriceSum) {
148         revert EtherNotEnough(foodPriceSum);
149     }
150 }
```

**Listing 2.4:** src/Life.sol

**Impact** Users will get a shorter `workEndTime` than expected.

**Suggestion** Revise the logic to update `workEndTime` accordingly.

**Feedback from the Project** This is by design.

## 2.2.4 Lack of Refund in Function `buyFood()`

**Severity** Medium

**Status** Confirmed

**Introduced by** [Version 1](#)

**Description** In the function `buyFood()` of the contract `Life`, there is no refund logic when the user pays more than needed.

```
126 function buyFood(uint256[] memory tokenIds, uint256 foodWorkTime)
127 external
128 payable
129 {
130     uint256 foodPrice = _foodPrices[foodWorkTime];
131     if (foodPrice <= 0) {
132         revert FoodNotOnSale(foodWorkTime);
133     }
134     uint256 foodPriceSum = 0;
135     uint256 currentTime = block.timestamp;
136     for (uint256 i = 0; i < tokenIds.length; i++) {
137         address owner = _ownerOf(tokenIds[i]);
138         if (msg.sender != owner) {
139             revert MustBeNftOwner(owner);
140         }
141         foodPriceSum += foodPrice;
142         _lifePool[tokenIds[i]].workEndTime = uint64(
143             currentTime + foodWorkTime
144         );
145     }
146     emit FeedEvent(tokenIds[i], currentTime, foodWorkTime);
147 }
148 }
149 if (msg.value < foodPriceSum) {
150     revert EtherNotEnough(foodPriceSum);
151 }
152 }
```

**Listing 2.5:** `src/Life.sol`

**Impact** Users cannot receive refunds.

**Suggestion** Implement a refund mechanism in the function `buyFood()`.

**Feedback from the Project** This is by design.

## 2.2.5 Lack of Check in Function `addNewAuction()`

**Severity** Medium

**Status** Fixed in [Version 2](#)

**Introduced by** [Version 1](#)

**Description** In the function `addNewAuction()`, the owner should ensure `maxSellable_` is less than or equal to 511 and `startTokenID_` is larger than `cellMintedNum`.

```
244 function addNewAuction(  
245     uint256 targetPrice_,  
246     uint256 priceDecayPercent_,  
247     uint256 perTimeUnit_,  
248     uint256 startTime_,  
249     uint256 maxSellable_,  
250     uint256 startTokenID_,  
251     uint256 updateInterval_  
252 ) public onlyOwner {  
253     require(  
254         _currentCellAuction.sold == _currentCellAuction.maxSellable,  
255         "auction ongoing"  
256     );  
257     require(startTime_ > block.timestamp, "invalid startTime");  
258     uint256 decayConstant = wadLn(1e18 - priceDecayPercent_);  
259     require(decayConstant < 0, "NON_NEGATIVE_DECAY_CONSTANT");  
260     _currentCellAuction.startTime = startTime_;  
261     _currentCellAuction.targetPrice = targetPrice_;  
262     _currentCellAuction.decayConstant = decayConstant;  
263     _currentCellAuction.perTimeUnit = perTimeUnit_;  
264     _currentCellAuction.maxSellable = maxSellable_;  
265     _currentCellAuction.startTokenID = startTokenID_;  
266     _currentCellAuction.updateInterval = updateInterval_;  
267 }
```

**Listing 2.6:** src/CellGame.sol

**Impact** First, if `startTokenID` is set as an incorrect value, users won't be able to mint a new cell since the target `tokenId`, which is calculated via `startTokenID`, has already been minted. Second, if `maxSellable_` is larger than 511, users can get two cells in the same random number in one round, which is inconsistent with our design.

**Suggestion** Add relevant check in function `addNewAuction()`.

## 2.2.6 Lack of Interface to Withdraw `_poolFeeCollected Fee`

**Severity** Medium

**Status** Fixed in [Version 2](#)

**Introduced by** [Version 1](#)

**Description** In function `createLife()`, 70% of the cell rent fee is allocated to the cell's owner, 10% to the dev team, and 20% to a liquidity pool. The project implements an interface called `withdrawRentFee()` for the cell's owner. For the dev fee, the project implements an interface called `withdrawDevFee()`. However, there is no interface for the liquidity pool.

```
163 function createLife(uint256[][] memory cellsPositions_) public payable {  
164     require(  
165         cellsPositions_.length >= 2 && cellsPositions_.length <= 9,  
166         "can only use 2-9 cells!"  
167     );  
168     uint256 cumulatedPrice = 0;
```

```
169     uint256[] memory cellGenes = new uint256[](cellsPositions_.length);
170     uint32[] memory livingCellTotals = new uint32[](cellsPositions_.length);
171
172
173     uint256 totalRentFeeCollected = 0;
174     for (uint256 i = 0; i < cellsPositions_.length; i++) {
175         uint256 tokenId = cellsPositions_[i][0];
176         CellGene storage cellGene = _cellPool[tokenId];
177         uint256 absoluteTimeSinceStart = block.timestamp -
178             cellGene.bornTime;
179         uint256 cellRentPrice = getCellRentPrice(
180             cellGene.rentedCount,
181             absoluteTimeSinceStart
182         );
183
184
185         cellGenes[i] = cellGene.bitmap.getBucket(0);
186         livingCellTotals[i] = cellGene.livingCellTotal;
187         cellGene.rentedCount += 1;
188
189
190         uint256 rentFee = (cellRentPrice * 70) / 100;
191         _rentFeeCollected[tokenId] += rentFee;
192         totalRentFeeCollected += rentFee;
193
194
195         emit MintFeeReceived(tokenId, rentFee);
196
197
198         cumulatedPrice += cellRentPrice;
199     }
200     uint256 remainFee = cumulatedPrice - totalRentFeeCollected;
201     _devFeeCollected += remainFee / 3;
202     _poolFeeCollected += remainFee - remainFee / 3;
203
204
205     emit MintFeeForDevReceived(remainFee / 3);
206     emit MintFeeForPoolReceived(remainFee - remainFee / 3);
207
208
209     require(msg.value >= cumulatedPrice, "Insufficient funds");
210
211
212     _life.createLife(
213         msg.sender,
214         cumulatedPrice,
215         cellsPositions_,
216         cellGenes,
217         livingCellTotals
218     );
219
220
221     if (msg.value > cumulatedPrice) {
```

```
222     (bool sent, ) = payable(msg.sender).call{
223         value: msg.value - cumulatedPrice
224     }(""); // Returns false on failure
225     require(sent, "failed to return Ether");
226 }
227 }
```

**Listing 2.7:** src/CellGame.sol

**Impact** The `_poolFeeCollected` fee cannot be withdrawn.

**Suggestion** Implement interface to withdraw the `_poolFeeCollected` fee.

## 2.2.7 Lack of Upper Limit in Function `Withdraw()`

**Severity** Medium

**Status** Fixed in [Version 2](#)

**Introduced by** [Version 1](#)

**Description** In contract `CellGame`, the function `withdraw()` can get any amount from the contract. However, the owner is not expected to withdraw the cells' rent fee and pool's fee directly, which can be a centralized problem.

```
157 function withdraw(uint256 amount) public onlyOwner {
158     require(amount <= address(this).balance, "Insufficient balance");
159     address payable owner = payable(owner());
160     owner.transfer(amount);
161 }
```

**Listing 2.8:** src/CellGame.sol

**Impact** The owner can withdraw assets that do not belong to them.

**Suggestion** Add an upper limit check in function `withdraw()`.

## 2.3 Additional Recommendation

### 2.3.1 Lack of Check in Function `createLife()`

**Status** Fixed in [Version 2](#)

**Introduced by** [Version 1](#)

**Description** The function `createLife()` only ensures that the `position` is less than 10. A zero value `position` will cause an underflow and result in a revert.

```
96 function createLife(
97     address to,
98     uint256 bornPrice,
99     uint256[][] calldata cellsPositions,
100    uint256[] calldata cellGenes,
101    uint32[] calldata livingCellTotals
102 ) external onlyCell {
103     for (uint256 i = 0; i < cellsPositions.length; i++) {
```



```

104     require(cellsPositions[i][1] < 10, "position error");
105     //...
106     for (uint256 i = 0; i < cellsPositions.length; i++) {
107         uint256 parentTokenID = cellsPositions[i][0];
108         newLife.parentTokenIds.push(parentTokenID);
109         uint256 position = cellsPositions[i][1];
110         uint256 x = ((position - 1) % 3) * 3;

```

**Listing 2.9:** src/Life.sol

**Suggestion** Add a zero check on `cellsPosition[i][1]`.

### 2.3.2 Incorrect Comments

**Status** Fixed in [Version 2](#)

**Introduced by** [Version 1](#)

**Description** The comments "withdraw eth from the contract" and "Obtain 512 unique random numbers for 10 rounds" are incorrect.

```

156     //withdraw eth from the contract
157     function withdraw(uint256 amount) public onlyOwner {

```

**Listing 2.10:** src/CellGame.sol

```

387     //Obtain 512 unique random numbers for 10 rounds
388     function getRandomNumber() public returns (uint256) {

```

**Listing 2.11:** src/CellGame.sol

**Suggestion** Revise the comments.

### 2.3.3 Redundant code

**Status** Fixed in [Version 2](#)

**Introduced by** [Version 1](#)

**Description** The function `getTargetSaleTimeLogistic()` and library `SignedWadMath` are redundant.

```

45     function getTargetSaleTimeLogistic(
46         int256 sold,
47         int256 logisticLimit,
48         int256 timeScale
49     ) internal pure returns (int256) {
50         unchecked {
51             return
52                 -unsafeWadDiv(
53                     wadLn(
54                         unsafeDiv(logisticLimit * 2e18, sold + logisticLimit) -
55                             1e18
56                     ),
57                     timeScale

```

```

58         );
59     }
60 }

```

**Listing 2.12:** src/lib/VRGDA.sol

```

1  // SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
2  pragma solidity >=0.8.0;
3
4  // ...
5  function toHoursWadUnsafe(uint256 x) pure returns (int256 r) {
6      assembly {
7          // Multiply x by 1e18 and then divide it by 3600.
8          r := div(mul(x, 1000000000000000000), 3600)
9      }
10 }
11
12 function fromHoursWadUnsafe(int256 x) pure returns (uint256 r) {
13     assembly {
14         // Multiply x by 3600 and then divide it by 1e18.
15         r := div(mul(x, 3600), 1000000000000000000)
16     }
17 }

```

**Listing 2.13:** src/lib/SignedWadMath.sol

**Suggestion** Remove the redundant code.

### 2.3.4 Improper usage of function Transfer

**Status** Fixed in [Version 2](#)

**Introduced by** [Version 1](#)

**Description** The function `withdraw()` uses `transfer()` to transfer native token to the owner, which is not suggested.

```

394 // withdraw available balance from the contract
395 function withdraw(uint256 amount) public onlyOwner {
396     require(_withdrawable, "withdraw paused");
397     uint256 withdrawable = address(this).balance -
398         (_totalRentFee + _devFeeCollected + _poolFeeCollected);
399     require(amount <= withdrawable, "Insufficient balance");
400     address payable owner = payable(owner());
401     owner.transfer(amount);
402 }

```

**Listing 2.14:** src/CellGame.sol

**Suggestion** Use `call{value:amount}` or Openzeppelin's `sendValue`.

## 2.4 Note

## 2.4.1 Higher Cell Price Due to Round Down Design

**Description** In the function `getCellRentPrice()`, it always rounds down the `timeSinceStart` parameter in favor of the cells' owners and dev team. Thus, the returned cell price is higher than the theoretical price.

```

95  function getCellRentPrice(
96      uint256 rentedCount,
97      uint256 absoluteTimeSinceStart
98  ) public view returns (uint256) {
99      return
100         VRGDA.getVRGDAPrice(
101             toDaysWadUnsafe(
102                 absoluteTimeSinceStart -
103                 (absoluteTimeSinceStart %
104                 _lifeCreationConfig.updateInterval)
105             ),
106             _lifeCreationConfig.cellTargetRentPrice,
107             _lifeCreationConfig.decayConstant,
108             // Theoretically calling toWadUnsafe with sold can silently overflow but under
109             // any reasonable circumstance it will never be large enough. We use sold + 1 as
110             // the VRGDA formula's n param represents the nth token and sold is the n-1th token
111             .
112             VRGDA.getTargetSaleTimeLogisticToLinear(
113                 toWadUnsafe(rentedCount + 1),
114                 _lifeCreationConfig.soldBySwitch,
115                 _lifeCreationConfig.switchTime,
116                 _lifeCreationConfig.logisticLimit,
117                 _lifeCreationConfig.timeScale,
118                 _lifeCreationConfig.perTimeUnit
119             )
120         );
121 }

```

**Listing 2.15:** src/CellGame.sol

## 2.4.2 Inconsistent BLOCK\_TIME

**Description** The project sets `BLOCK_TIME` as 2 seconds, which is not consistent with the opbnb chain (1 second) and the BSC chain (3 seconds). Inconsistent block time will lead to a higher or lower evolution speed than designed.

**Feedback from the Project** The depolyer will set `BLOCK_TIME` before deploying the contract.

## 2.4.3 Lack of Access Control in `sendClaimEnergyRequest()`

**Description** Anyone can invoke the function `sendClaimEnergyRequest()` to update the claim-Time.

```

8  function sendClaimEnergyRequest() public {
9      uint256 claimTime = block.timestamp;
10     emit ClaimEnergy(msg.sender, claimTime);

```

```
11 }
```

#### Listing 2.16: src/Energy.sol

**Feedback from the Project** This functionality is not implemented yet.

#### 2.4.4 Lack of Evolution Implementation

**Description** According to the documentation<sup>1</sup>, the cell and life will evolve according to the environment (e.g., land, air, sea) and time. Furthermore, cells should enter a cool-down period after synthesizing a life form. However, there is no implementation for these evolution-related functions.

**Feedback from the Project** This functionality is not implemented yet.

---

<sup>1</sup><https://cellulalifegame.gitbook.io/cellula/gameplay>

